

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,970	09/18/2003	Mani Soma	4735.P005	8349	
75	90 01/04/2005	EXAMINER			
Jan Carol Little			BUI, BRYAN		
BLAKELY, SO Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire	_ +	2863			
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026	DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)				
				SOMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		10/665,97						
	0	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	Bryan Bu		2863				
Period fo		inication appears on the	COVER SHEET WITH THE	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventuring the state (30) days, a reply within the state statutory period will apply and with the state of the apply and with the state of the apply and with the mailing date of this co	ent, however, may a reply be til utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONK	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicat (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) for	iled on 18 September 2	<u>2003</u> .					
2a)□	5. N. S.							
3)□								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal See the attached detailed Office accessory.	ty documents have been ty documents have been so of the priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rules).	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachmen			A) D Interview Comme	v (PTO 413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
3) Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-12, 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D.E. Newland (Journal of vibration and acoustic, "wavelet analysis of vibration, part 1, Theory").

With respect to claim1, D.E. Newland teaches a technique for applying a wavelet to a radio frequency signal under test(sample) to extract (decompose) parameter from the RF signal (f(x)) using a wavelet transform (w(x)) of the RF signal (pages 409, 414).

With respect to claims 2-4, D.E. Newland teach in figures 4-5, page 411, (indication x in the graph known as position **or time** represent in horizontal axis, see The **Haar family of wavelets** in page 410), the extracting at least one timing parameter from RF signal, extracting a clock period of the RF signal, extracting jitter rate (elapsed time, pulsed time).

With respect to claims 7-12, D.E. Newland teach in figures 4, 6, and page 412, extracting at least one frequency parameter from the RF signal, extracting a frequency increase, extracting at least one phase parameter from the RF signal, extracting a phase discontinuity from the RF signal, applying a discrete Haar wavelet to a radio

Art Unit: 2863

frequency signal, applying a discrete Morlet wavelet to a radio frequency signal (specific in page 412).

With respect to claims 23, 29, the rejection for a system/machinery would encompass by the method rejection as set forth above, since the radio frequency could be emitted from many machinery vibration monitoring or sound as indicated in page 409, and providing a wavelet tool in the technique to extract parameters by wavelet transform (page 414).

With respect to claims 24-28, 30-32, D.E. Newland teach in figures 4, 6, and page 412, extracting at least one frequency parameter from the RF signal, extracting a frequency increase, extracting at least one phase parameter from the RF signal, extracting a phase discontinuity from the RF signal, applying a discrete Haar wavelet to a radio frequency signal, applying a discrete Morlet wavelet to a radio frequency signal (specific in page 412).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-22, and 33-38 are indicate allowable over the prior art of record, since none of the prior art discloses the claimed combination as recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,970 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

BB

12/27/2004